



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,787	06/17/2005	Stefan Aberg	915-008.036	4876

4955 7590 02/03/2009

WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224
MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

FIELDS, COURTNEY D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2437

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/03/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/539,787

Applicant(s)

ABERG ET AL.

Examiner

COURTNEY D. FIELDS

Art Unit

2437

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SG/US)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-13, and 14-21 have been currently amended.
2. Claims 22-33 have been added.
3. Claims 1-23 are pending.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, Ravisto et al. (Pub No. 2004/0075675).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ravisto et al. (Pub No. 204/0075675).

Referring to the rejection of claims 1, 13, and 22, Ravisto et al. discloses a method, apparatus, and wireless terminal comprising:

initiating, from a wireless terminal, transmission of a first set of user identification parameters to a server over a first communication path; (See page 3, Section 0029)

transmitting, from the wireless terminal, a second set of user identification parameters to the server over a second communication path; (See page 3, Section 0034)

obtaining access, at the wireless terminal over the second communication path, to a service in dependence on an authentication by the server based on a match between the first set of user identification parameters and the second set of user identification parameters. (See page 4, Section 0039)

Referring to the rejection of claims 2, 14, and 23, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein said initiating includes initiating the transmission of (Short Message Service) message, which includes the first set of user identification parameters, from a (Short Message Service Center) to the server. (See page 3, Section 0032)

Referring to the rejection of claims 3, 15, and 24, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein each set of said first set of user identification parameters and said second set of user identification parameters includes a user identification parameter and a password parameter. (See page 5, Section 0044)

Referring to the rejection of claims 4, 16, and 25, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the user identification parameter is a user name or (Mobile Station Integrated Services Digital Network) number. (See page 4, Section 0040)

Referring to the rejection of claims 5, 17, and 26, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the password parameter is a (Personal Identity Number) code. (See page 3, Section 0030)

Referring to the rejection of claims 6, 18, and 27, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein authentication further is based on the transmission of said second set of user identification parameters within a predefined time limit following the transmission of said first set of user identification parameters. (See page 3, Sections 0030-0031)

Referring to the rejection of claims 7 and 28, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein said transmitting step involving the second set of user identification parameters is effectuated by using a URL bookmark stored in the wireless terminal and designating the server. (See page 2, Section 0027)

Referring to the rejection of claims 8 and 29, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the uniform resource locator is user specific and includes a user name encrypted with a key only known to the server. (See page 4, Sections 0039-0040)

Referring to the rejection of claims 9 and 30, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the uniform resource locator previously has been received from a corporate intranet as an OTA bookmark. (See page 5, Section 0046)

Referring to the rejection of claims 10, 19, and 31, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein said transmitting step includes transmitting the second set of user identification parameters over a (Wireless Application Protocol) session established between the wireless terminal and the server. (See page 2, Section 0026)

Referring to the rejection of claims 11, 20 and 32, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the service is administrated by the server and the service concerns an electronic mailbox account associated with the user. (See page 5, Section 0044)

Referring to the rejection of claims 12, 21, and 33, Ravisto et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein said transmitting step includes transmitting the second set of user identification parameters over a voice session established with the server, and wherein the server, by means of text-to-speech and speech-to-text conversion, provides the user with said service for listening to, and initiating transmission of, electronic mails via an electronic mailbox account associated with the user. (See page 7, Section 0065)

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to COURTNEY D. FIELDS whose telephone number is (571)272-3871. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs. 6:00 - 4:00 pm; off every Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Courtney D. Fields/
Examiner, Art Unit 2437
January 30, 2009

/Emmanuel L. Moise/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437